# EXHIBIT B













2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202, Tacoma, WA 98403 • 253.627.6401 • Toll Free: 800.649.2034 • byersanderson.com

# ONE-WEEK TRANSCRIPT TURNAROUND

Digital Transcripts • Internet Realtime • HD Legal Video • Picture-in-Picture Depositions Remote Witnesses • Designation Editing • Nationwide Scheduling • HD Videoconferencing

In the Matter of:

#### RE TOY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

VS

#### **CARL BRODKIN**

March 06, 2020

Thank you for choosing Byers & Anderson for your court reporting, legal video, and videoconferencing needs. For over 35 years it has been our goal to provide you with unmatched service. Our one-week transcript turnaround is an industry leader. If there is anything we can do to assist you, please don't hesitate to let us know.



The Premier Advantage™
PDF transcript bundle contains:

- Full-size and condensed transcripts
- Printable word index
- Hyperlinked selectable word index
- Embedded printable exhibit scans
- Hyperlinked selectable exhibit viewing
- Common file formats: txt, lef, mdb accessed via *paperclip* icon

## Sarah Fitzgibbon, CCR

**Deposition Services Lead Consultant** 

STRATEGY • TECHNOLOGY • DESIGN •

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### RE TOY ASBESTOS LITIGATION Brodkin, Carl - March 06, 2020

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

)
)
)
) No. 4:19-cv-00325-HSG
)
IN RE TOY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
) Related:
) 4:19-cv-00326-HSG
) and
) 4:19-cv-00336-HSG
)
)
)

DEPOSITION OF CARL A. BRODKIN, MD MPH FACOEM

March 6, 2020

Seattle, Washington

Reporter: Barbara Castrow, CCR, RMR, CRR



	,	3
1	I	APPEARANCES
2	For the Plaint	ciffs:
3		Benjamin H. Adams Dean Omar & Branham, LLP
4		302 North Market Street Suite 300
5		Dallas, TX 75202 214.722.5990
6		badams@dobllp.com
7	(Following counsel	appearing telephonically)
8	For Defendant	Ingersoll-Rand Company:
9		Kevin E. Deenihan The Rasmussen Law Firm, LLP
10		6033 West Century Boulevard Suite 444
11		Los Angeles, CA 90045 310.981.5516
12		kdeenihan@rasmussenlawca.com
13	For Defendant	Warren Pumps LLC:
14		James P. Cunningham
15		Tucker Ellis 201 Mission Street
16		Suite 2310 San Francisco, CA 94105-1831
17		415.617.2214 415.617.2409 Fax
18		james.cunningham@tuckerellis.com
19	For Defendant	Honeywell International, Inc.:
20	ror peremanne	Nilufar Majd
21		Ongaro PC 1604 Union Street
22		San Francisco, CA 94123 415.433.3900
23		nmajd@ongaropc.com
24		
25		

```
1
                  APPEARANCES:
                                 (Continuing.)
 2
     For Defendants SB Decking, Inc., and Smothers
         Parts International, Inc.:
 3
                   Florence A. McClain
                   Lewis Brisbois
 4
                    333 Bush Street
 5
                    Suite 1100
                    San Francisco, CA 94104
                    415.438.6602
 6
                    415.434.0882 Fax
 7
                    florence.mcclain@lewisbrisbois.com
 8
     For Defendant The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company:
 9
                   Thomas J. Tarkoff
10
                   MG+M
                    100 Pringle Avenue
11
                    Suite 750
                   Walnut Creek, CA 94593
                    415.527.2810
12
                    415.512.6791 Fax
13
                    ttarkoff@mgmlaw.com
14
     For Defendants Armstrong International, Inc., and
15
         Aurora Pump Company:
                   Gilliam F. Stewart
16
                   CMBG3 Law
17
                    100 Spectrum Center Drive
                    Suite 820
                    Irvine, CA 92618
18
                    949.467.9500
19
                   gstewart@cmbg3.com
2.0
     For Defendants BW/IP, Inc., and Alfa Laval, Inc.:
21
                    Emily M. Cunningham
22
                   Foley & Mansfield
                    2185 North California Boulevard
23
                    Suite 575
                   Walnut Creek, CA 94596
                    510.590.9586
2.4
                    510.590.9595
25
                   ecunningham@foleymansfield.com
```

```
1
                   APPEARANCES:
                                 (Continuing.)
 2
     For Defendants Morse TEC and Metalclad:
 3
                    Frank K. Berfield
                    Dentons US LLP
                    One Market Plaza
 4
                    Spear Tower, 24th Floor
 5
                    San Francisco, CA
                    415.267.4000
                    415.267.4198
 6
                    frank.berfield@dentons.com
 7
     For Defendant Air & Liquid Systems Corporation:
 8
 9
                   Vick Mansourian
                    Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani
10
                    633 West Fifth Street
                    52nd Floor
11
                    Los Angeles, CA 90071
                    510.463.8525
12
                    vmansourian@grsm.com
13
     For Defendant Cla-Val Co.:
14
                    Charles H. Kanter
15
                    Palmieri Tylerm Wiener Wilhelm
                        & Waldron LLP
                    1900 Main Street
16
                    Suite 700
17
                    Irvine, CA 92614-7328
                    949.851.7232
                    949.825.5411
18
19
     For Defendant Weir Valves & Controls USA, Inc.,
2.0
         and M. Slayen and Associates, Inc.:
21
                    Lysle J. Kapp
                    BHC Law Group LLP
                    5900 Hollis Street
22
                    Suite 0
23
                    Emeryville, CA
                                     94608
                    510.650.3600
                    510.658.1151
24
                    lkapp@bhc.law
25
```

1		4 with the discovery documents Page 5 through 9.
2	Q	Of your handwritten report?
3	A	Correct, the Clinical Summary and Materials Reviewed
4		section.
5	Q	Okay. So is it a fair summary to state that what is
6		stated in your report is included in your Redweld with
7		the exception of the two expert reports you just
8		received?
9	A	Yes, that's fair.
LO	Q	Okay. Let's mark those collectively as Exhibit 5 then.
L1		(Exhibit No. 5 marked
L2		for identification.)
L3	Q	(By Mr. Deenihan) Can you describe for me the two expert
L 4		reports that you just received?
L5	A	Yes. And just to clarify, Exhibit 5 are the two
L6		Redwelds?
L7	Q	That's correct. If it's easier for you, I can mark them
L8		separately.
L9	A	Whatever works for you is fine.
20	Q	Okay. I prefer to mark them as one big exhibit. So
21		let's just do that.
22		Can we turn to the two expert reports you received
23		recently?
24	A	Yes, yesterday. Yes, I have them.
25	Q	Can you describe them for me?
	l .	

1	A	Yes, the first one is authored by Brent Staggs. It's
2		dated January 3, 2020. And the second one is from
3		Dr. Victor Roggli dated February 25, 2020.
4	Q	Okay. And actually I know I said these were part of
5		Exhibit 5, but why don't we make the Staggs report
6		Exhibit 6 and the Roggli report Exhibit 7, just so we
7		don't confuse them with the other ones you have received.
8		Is that okay?
9	A	Sure.
10		(Exhibit Nos. 6-7 marked
11		for identification.)
12		MR. DEENIHAN: Ben, have you produced
13		the Staggs report?
14		MR. ADAMS: I'm not sure. I don't
15		think in the initial disclosures he was disclosed, but he
16		will be submitting a supplemental report in response to
17		or a rebuttal report in response to Roggli.
18		MR. DEENIHAN: Would you have a
19		problem sending me that now so I can take a look at it?
20		MR. ADAMS: No. Let me see if I can
21		find it real quick. I will send it to you as soon as I
22		can.
23		(Discussion off the record.)
24	Q	(By Mr. Deenihan) Okay. Ben, if you could send me that,
25		and maybe I'll take a look at it over the break and then
	I	

1		we can discuss it.
2		Have you had a chance to review those two reports?
3	A	Yes, I have.
4	Q	Okay. We'll get to that later on. Did you ever produce
5		any draft reports?
6	A	I did not.
7	Q	Outside of the two documents the two export reports
8		that you brought with you, have you reviewed anything
9		else subsequent to the date of your report in late
10		January?
11	A	No.
12	Q	Are there any materials that you relied on in forming
13		your report that you did not bring with you today?
14	A	I would indicate, and this is consistent with the Notice
15		of Deposition, that articles that I've relied on are
16		listed in the Reference Reliance List or noted in the
17		body of my report. So I don't have those actual articles
18		or references, but they are listed.
19	Q	Okay. I understand. They are listed in there. You may
20		not have physical copies with you. Is that a fair
21		summary?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. Did you review any expert reports besides the
24		Dr. Roggli one and the Dr. Staggs one?
25	A	No. not to my knowledge. I mean, the other pathology

1		about an hour. Do you want to take a break?
2		THE WITNESS: We could take five
3		minutes.
4		MR. ADAMS: Sounds good.
5		MR. DEENIHAN: Let's take a quick
6		five. That will be an opportunity for me to look at the
7		Staggs report. Let's go off the record.
8		(Recess from 10:01 to 10:08.)
9	Q	(By Mr. Deenihan) Doctor, I'm looking at the Dr. Staggs'
10		report. Do you have that in front of you?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. Do you rely on this report with respect to your
13		opinions?
14	A	Well, I would indicate that for the January 20, 2020,
15		report, I had not reviewed Dr. Staggs' report, so I did
16		not rely on it. I relied on the treating clinical
17		pathologists for my assessment.
18	Q	Okay. Does this report then have no significance to you?
19		Is it something that you don't rely on? I'm trying to
20		figure that part of it out.
21	A	Certainly Dr. Staggs' opinion is consistent with the
22		clinical pathologist's report at Butler Health Pathology
23		from October 1st, 2018. I would say it's fully
24		consistent with that.
25	Q	Okay. And when you say "consistent," do you mean in

1		terms of diagnosing with a mesothelioma based on
2		pathology review?
3	A	Yes, and in terms of the histologic type and epithelioid
4		mesothelioma, it is consistent.
5		And in terms of its review of the immuno-
6		histochemical markers, it's consistent with what was
7		stated in the clinical pathology report from Butler
8		Health.
9	Q	I'm confused what you mean by consistent as to the review
10		of the immunohistochemical I keep messing that word
11		up. I'm sorry. For review of the markers, that it's
12		consistent. What part of the report are you referring
13		to?
14	A	So this would be Page 13 of the report when he's
15		reviewing pathology reports. He reviews the Butler
16		Memorial Hospital report. And that review, I think, is
17		consistent and fairly states what was observed in the
18		report, the pathology report at Butler Health.
19	Q	So you are referring to his recapitulation of the Butler
20		Health report?
21	A	His review of it, yes.
22	Q	Okay. And then in turning to his own pathological
23		review, did you review that section as well?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. Are you able to tell me what staining he